

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/446,783 05/16/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		NEIL P. DESAI	VPHAR1460-2		
75	590 04/23/2002				
STEPHEN E. REITER			EXAMINER		
FOLEY & LARDNER P. O. BOX 80278			DEWITTY, ROBERT M		
SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92138-0278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/446,783		SOON-SHIONG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert M De		1616				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status  1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 April 2002</u> .								
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	AND THE STATE OF T							
<i>/</i>	we see the market for formal matters procedution as to the marits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 29-34,46-51 and 66-93 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-34,46-51 and 66-93</u> is/are rejected.							
, —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	iction and/or	election requirement					
	Claim(s) <u>29-35, and 46-93</u> are subject to restri	ICHOH AHU/OF	ologion requirement	<del></del>				
Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved b)∏ disappro		er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1)  Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	4) Interview Summar 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper No I Patent Application (PT	o(s): 'O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/446,783

Art Unit: 1616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 29-35, and 46-93 are pending in the instant application.

Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed 4/8/02. Claims 53-65 are withdrawn from further consideration, as stated in the Office Action mailed 3/13/01, as being drawn to non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 29-35, 46-51, and 66-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification teaches the use of paclitaxel, Capxol, and Taxol, but does not state the use of "taxanes" in the instant invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/446,783

Art Unit: 1616

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 29-34, 46-51, and 66-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Desai et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,916,596).

Desai et al. teach the in-vivo delivery of insoluble active agents in the form of Suspended particles coated with protein. The anti-cancer drug paclitaxel can be embodied in the form of nanoparticles in a liquid dispersion or a solid which can be easily reconstituted for administration (col. 6, lines 56-59). Delivery can occur by oral, intravenous, subcutaneous, intramuscular, inhalation, topical or transdermal methods.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29-35, 46-51, 66-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desai et al., further in view of Langer.

Desai teaches liquid dispersions containing nanoparticles which can be reconstituted for administration. The anticancer drug Taxol can be used as the nanoparticles. Desai, however, does not teach dosage amounts and rates of administration.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/446,783

Art Unit: 1616

Langer teaches the infusion of paclitaxel at 135 mg/m<sup>2</sup> and 200 mg/m<sup>2</sup> by 1 hour infusion. Langer concludes that higher doses of formulation yield intolerable toxicity, and the protocol was limited at paclitaxel doses exceeding 215 mg/m<sup>2</sup>.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the amended claims overcome the prior art because they teach using paclitaxel, and the amended claims are drawn to taxane. However, as shown by the definition of Taxanes from Stedman's Medical Dictionary, 27 edition, examples of taxanes are paclitaxel. Thus, the rejections are maintained.

Regarding Applicant's claim to priority in the provisional application 60/051,021, whereas inventors on the instant application where listed as inventors on the provisional, the instant application possesses two additional inventors. Applicant must set forth the subject matter (including the claims pertaining thereto) in the nonprovisional application that the new inventors invented so that a priority date may be given to those claims. Additionally, the names of the inventors may be amended in the provisional application under 37 CFR 1.48(d).

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M DeWitty whose telephone number is 703-308-2411. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4527. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7924 for regular communications and 703-308-7924 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

RMD April 19, 2002

1235.

NEIL S. LEVY
PRIMARY EXAMINER